



TANNER

Accountants & Advisors

Right Answers, Right Here.

Utah House Bill 80

Cybersecurity Affirmative Defense Act



House Bill 80

Presentation Overview

- Current landscape (Privacy Law)
- Utah Cybersecurity Defense Act – HB80
- Requirements
- Frameworks Compared
- How do you make the Defense Stick
- Questions



Current Landscape (Privacy Law)

Part 1

Current landscape (Privacy Law)

- CCPA / GDPR
 - Nevada – SB 220 / Virginia – SB 1392
 - Future of Privacy Legislation
-
- Problems: Cybersecurity and Data Breach Statutes
 - 50 different state requirements
 - No guidance about how to comply
 - Investment in cybersecurity has counted for little when pursuing breach liability defense
 - Successful legal claims, even when IT controls are set up correctly



Utah Cybersecurity Defense Act

Part 2

Utah Cybersecurity Defense Act – HB80

Key Features

- Incentive to comply (nationally recognized security framework)
- Affirmative defense in a data breach
- Affirmative Defense:
 - Defense against the claim that an organization failed to implement reasonable controls,
 - Defense against failure to respond to a breach,
 - Defense against failure to appropriately notify individuals



Requirements

Requirements

- ✓ Perform an IT Risk Assessment
- ✓ Create a written cybersecurity program
- ✓ Make sure controls are in place before a breach
- ✓ General Requirements
 - Protect the security, confidentiality, and integrity of personal information
 - Protect against anticipated threats or hazards to security
 - Protect against a breach of system security
- ✓ Framework requirements
 - Conform to one of the frameworks



Requirements - continued

- ✓ Scale & Scope Requirements
 - Consider the size, complexity, nature of activities, cost and availability of tools to improve information
- ✓ Reasonableness Requirement
 - Develop policies, practices and procedures to detect, prevent, and respond to breaches
 - Perform risk assessments on a regular basis

Caution

- The defense doesn't apply if an organization has actual notice of a threat or hazard, failed to act, and the hazard resulted in a breach.
- NOTE: a risk assessment to improve security is not actual notice of a threat or hazard.



Frameworks

Part 3

Frameworks Compared

- NIST 800-171
- NIST 800-53
- FedRamp
- CIS 20
- ISO 27001
- Federal laws for federally protected information (such as HIPAA and GLBA)
- Or PCI-DSS for PCI data



Frameworks

Part 4

How do you make the defense stick

- Choice of law, jurisdiction, and venue clauses in contracts



House Bill 80

Questions ??????

