

Why Governmental Entities Need Fiduciary Liability Insurance

Members of governmental entities face increasing exposure to claims of breach of fiduciary duty and failure to properly handle various employee benefit plans. Governmental entities are subject to liability even if they participate in a state public entity retirement system. The following is a summary of key points as to why governmental entities should protect against fiduciary liability with the purchase of fiduciary liability insurance.

- 1. Governmental Entities are Subject to the High Fiduciary Liability Standard of Care:** Even though fiduciaries of governmental plans are not subject to most of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), they are still subject to fiduciary responsibility exposure under state and local law, common law rules, ERISA Title II tax provisions, and plan documents. These sources of fiduciary liability typically mirror ERISA's high fiduciary standard of care, or impose similar fiduciary requirements on governmental plans. The bottom line is that governmental fiduciaries are at risk of personal liability and need risk protection.
- 2. Governmental Entities Cannot Rely on Governmental Indemnification and Statutory Indemnification:** Most states have some version of either an indemnification and/or sovereign immunity statute to reduce legal claims against governmental officials. But these statutes do not provide sufficient protection against claims of breach of fiduciary duty or failure to properly administer employee benefits. The reason is that most indemnification statutes contain significant exceptions and are sometimes even discretionary. For example, most state indemnification protections are limited to actions within the scope of employment and offer no protection for willful conduct, gross negligence, or breach of good faith – allegations that are included in many breach of fiduciary duty claims. Consequently, governmental officials cannot rely on governmental immunity or indemnification for fiduciary exposures.
- 3. Participation in a state Public Employee Retirement System does not relieve the member entity of fiduciary responsibilities.** Some states provide that the pension benefits of city or county employees are handled in a state-wide Public Entity Retirement System (PERS) that provides pension benefits. This does not, however, relieve city or county executives from fiduciary responsibility. Indeed, while the state handles certain administrative and investment functions for the pension fund, fiduciary responsibility still resides with local officials for many responsibilities:
 - Local entities must still ensure contributions to the state PERS are sent on a timely basis, as participants can allege loss of contribution credit or investment income for delays;
 - Local officials still have a duty to monitor and ensure that investments are consistent with the investment guidelines outlined under the plan documents
 - Local officials must also review the fees charged by investment managers or incurred through investment vehicles and defray excessive plan expenditures
 - Local officials are also responsible for Non-DB Pension Benefits (supplemental DC Plans), and non-pension benefits including Health & Welfare Plans, and Other Post Employment Benefit Plans
 - Trustees must also ensure the financial solvency of the plans under their administration to secure the benefits of participants and retirees
- 4. Importantly, a participant alleging breach of fiduciary duty or improper calculation or distribution of benefits can include the contributing governmental entity as a defendant along with PERS.** The member entity has its own independent liability against which to defend.

5. **Even if a member entity contributes to state PERS, any fiduciary liability insurance protecting PERS will not protect contributing member entities.** The state PERS will likely purchase fiduciary liability insurance. But any such insurance is intended to protect the specific PERS plan – not contributing members. Those entities need to protect themselves independently of PERS.
6. **Even with PERS, member entities still have responsibility for non-defined benefit pension benefits, including supplemental defined contribution plans (like 401(a) and 457 plans) and health and welfare plans, including OPEB plans.** Most municipalities and other governmental entities have supplemental pension plans and health-related plans that are not subject to PERS. Governmental entities have substantial fiduciary responsibility – and individual liability for responsible governmental officials – for these additional employee benefit plans.

Benefits of a Fiduciary Policy

- Fiduciary policy protects against the personal liability imposed on plan trustees and responsible governmental officials for any plan losses resulting from the breach and for any profits that were attained through the misuse of plan assets. The policy protects the plan and individual fiduciaries.
- Fiduciary policy protects fiduciaries that are found liable for statutorily imposed penalties such as monetary sanctions or corrective fees imposed by the IRS and other governmental entities
- Fiduciary policy protects against lawsuits commenced by parties with standing such as a participant or beneficiary, co-fiduciary, or governmental entity

Fiduciary Expertise

Euclid Specialty has a market leading fiduciary program with Hudson Insurance Company, Rated "A/Excellent" by A.M. Best. Euclid has underwriting and claims authority from Hudson, which allows us to be responsive to our broker partners and clients.

Claims Examples

1. **Imprudent Investments:** Trustees of a \$9.5B employee retirement plan were alleged to have conducted illegal and imprudent investments involving hundreds of millions of dollars. The lawsuit raised questions concerning low return on investments and excessive management fees.
2. **Failure to Monitor:** Participants of a health plan sued the plan's board of trustees alleging that the trustees paid excessive fees in administering the plan. Participants alleged that trustees breached their duty to monitor the fees of the plan administrator.
3. **Conflict of Interest:** Trustees of a \$1.3B plan were alleged to have breached their fiduciary duty and accused of conflict of interest when plan assets were invested in products owned by an investment manager. The fees paid to the investment manager were also called into question.
4. **Benefit Claim:** Participant alleges that the plan officials failed to properly credit the service time of the participant, resulting in reduced pension benefit.
5. **Benefits Dispute - Supplemental Distributions:** City Attorney filed lawsuit against the board of trustees regarding the supplemental distributions provided to participants of the plan. City attorney alleged that plan trustees breached their fiduciary duty by providing a 13th check without having satisfied the requirement under state law, including sufficient annual return on plan investments.

6. **Defined Contribution Claim:** Fiduciaries of defined contribution plan failed to notify retirees of a blackout period that occurred to accommodate a switch to a new administrator. The retirees were unable to change their investment options during that period and brought a suit claiming that had they been notified, they would have moved their funds prior to the blackout.
7. **Challenge to Supplemental Retirement Plan:** Participants in deferred retirement option plan sued when plan trustees adjusted to a lower guaranteed interest rate to prevent further losses. Participants alleged that they have contractual right to original guaranteed interest rate.
8. **Improper Expenses/Ethics Violations:** State Board of Ethics conducted a confidential investigation concerning information it received suggesting that certain Trustees may have violated provisions of law within the Board of Ethics' jurisdiction. Specifically, Board of Ethics investigated whether plan trustees violated certain state laws by taking extended stays at investment conferences for the Trustee and his/her family members, food and drink for the Trustee and his/her family members, and transportation for the Trustee and his/her family members, to which the Trustee was not duly entitled to receive for the performance of his/her job duties as a member of the Board of Trustees. Fiduciary policy provided a defense to the trustee for the Board of Ethics investigation, including interviews of plan trustees.
9. **HIPAA:** The U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office for Civil Rights (OCR) investigated a public university after multiple breach reports affecting thousands of individuals, including two reports involving unencrypted laptops and another large breach involving a stolen unencrypted thumb drive. University settled potential violations of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) Privacy and Security Rules by agreeing to a comprehensive three-year corrective action plan and a monetary payment by OHSU to the Department for \$2,700,000.
10. **Cyber Claim:** Laptop of plan administrator is left in the back of a cab and goes missing. Laptop contains account, social security and other personally identifiable information of plan participants. Plan at risk of breach of fiduciary duty claims for failure to secure confidential participant information.

*Tillinghast
Fiduciary Survey*

*According to the
Tillinghast Fiduciary
Liability Survey Report,
paid claims are averaging
under \$1M, with average
defense costs that are just
under \$400,000.*

Contact Euclid Specialty

Euclid Specialty Managers, LLC is an insurance program administration company specializing in fiduciary and management liability insurance for multiemployer, governmental and other non-profit employee benefit plans. Our underwriters are experts at protecting employee benefit plans from complex fiduciary and other risks.

Please note: *This brochure provides an overview of coverages available. It does not replace or change the policy. Coverage will be dictated by your policy's specific terms and conditions. Products may not be available in all states. In CA, DBA Euclid Insurance Agency, LLC, license number OIO6317.*



Euclid Specialty Managers, LLC

380 Maple Avenue West, Suite 302,
Vienna, VA 22180

Main: 571.730.4810 | Fax: 571.730.4813

Website: www.euclidspecialty.com

Submissions to: mail@euclidspecialty.com